NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Under AMC 21.30.030, an appeal to the Board of Adjustment must be perfected by (1) the applicant, (2) any governmental agency, or (3) any person adversely affected by a decision of the Planning and Zoning Commission, the Platting Board, or the Urban Design Commission no later than **20 days** after the date that the written *Findings of Fact and Decision* is approved, on the record, and becomes final. To perfect the appeal, the appellant must file a *Notice of Appeal to the Board of Adjustment* with the Municipal Clerk's Office and pay the appeal fee and cost bond.

General Identity of Action Being Appealed:								
Planning Department File Number: 2014-0119	Date of Action: November 10, 2014							
Name of Project or Subdivision: South Addition Blk:22C Lot:4A								
Appellant's Information:								
Last Name: General Communication Inc.	First Name:							
Address: 6831 Arctic Blvd.	City: Anchorage State: AK Zip: 99518							
Phone Number: +1 (907) 868-2526	E-mail: Shaker @ gei.com							
Relationship to Action: 🖂 Applicant 🗀 Agent of	Applicant Government Agency Other Person Adversely Affected							
Applicant's Information: Same as Appellant	Autology Allocad							
Last Name: David	First Name: Baker							
Address: 6831 Arctic Blvd.	City: Anchorage State: AK Zip: 99518							
NOTE: If you are not the applicant or his/her agent, you must give notice to the applicant by certified mail at his/her last								
Specifics of A	ppeal Certification							
An appeal may be considered for the following three causes, singly or in combination:								
1. <u>Procedural Error</u> - If you allege procedural error, specify which the alleged error resulted in prejudice to your interest.								
2. Error in Application of Law - If you allege legal error, spe	ecify the manner in which principles of law were incorrectly							
applied. Include reference to any ordinance, statute, or othe 3. Findings or Conclusions that were Not Supported by I	er codified law upon which the allegation of legal error is based.							
	explain those findings or conclusions which lacked evidentiary							
	must be given for why the appeal should be granted. Explain statement of cause and reason for granting the appeal must							
	s appeal and that my (our) statement of cause and reason is true est of my (our) knowledge.							
Signature	Date 11 26 14 number unc							
Statement Attached: Appeal Fee (\$1080): Cost Bond (\$50): Preparation (\$1.70 per page):								
Date: (1.76.14 Cash: Check: Credit Card:	Receipt: 486358 Total Paid: 4080. 9							

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Planning Department File Number: 2014-0119

Specifics of Appeal Certification

Failure of Procedural Due Process: The purported public hearing on a Variance, under AMC 21.45.265A, as to the reduction or elimination of a minimum separation distance: 1. was conducted prior to any formal request for such variance by the Appellant; 2. was conducted without adequate notice that the issue was to be heard by the Commission on its own motion at that time; and 3. was thus conducted without the opportunity for prior preparation and submission of specific evidence on the issue, adequate for the Commission to make an informed decision, depriving the Appellant of its meaningful right to be heard. Failure to Produce Adequate Written Decision: The Commission, following its purported hearing and decision on a Variance, failed to provide an adequate written decision supported by substantial evidence in the record as to why a waiver was not appropriate for use of an existing electric transmission tower that already impinged on the minimum separation distance(s) as a matter of fact.

To:

AWN, The Alaska Wireless Network

6831 Arctic Boulevard Anchorage AK 99518

December 5, 2014

RE: Applicant: AWN, The Alaska Wireless Network

Case 2014-0119, Conditional Use Permit Application for ML&P/13th&"E" Street

Notice To File an Appeal

This letter is to inform AWN, The Alaska Wireless Network that GCI is filing for an appeal to the decision made by the Planning and Zoning Commission held on October 13, 2014, Case # 2014-0119.

On October 13, 2014, the Planning and Zoning Commission held a public hearing on the above referenced Conditional Use Permit application. After hearing testimony and making certain findings of fact and actions the committee voted upon on a motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit and a motion to approve the height variance. The motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit passed and the motion to approve a waiver in separation distance failed. Without a pass of both motions, the application was unapproved.

The following was submitted as the "Detailed and specific allegation(s) of error":

"Failure of Procedural Due Process: The purported public hearing on a Variance, under AMC 21.45.265A, as to the reduction or elimination of a minimum separation distance: 1. was conducted prior to any formal request for such variance by the Appellant; 2. was conducted without adequate notice that the issue was to be heard by the Commission on its own motion at that time; and 3. was thus conducted without the opportunity for prior preparation and submission of specific evidence on the issue, adequate for the Commission to make an informed decision, depriving the Appellant of its meaningful right to be heard. Failure to Produce Adequate Written Decision: The Commission, following its purported hearing and decision on a Variance, failed to provide an adequate written decision supported by substantial evidence in the record as to why a waiver was not appropriate for use of an existing electric transmission tower that already impinged on the minimum separation distance(s) as a matter of fact."

Sincerely

David J. Baker

Site Acquisition & Construction Manager

GCI - Wireless Initiatives

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE ZONING BOARD OF EXAMINERS AND APPEALS

Planning Division Case File Number: 2014-0119

Date of Action: Nov. 10, 2014

Legal Description of Property Involved: South Addition Blk:22C Lot:4A

Detailed and specific allegation(s) of error:

Failure of Procedural Due Process: The purported public hearing on a Variance, under AMC 21.45.265A, as to the reduction or elimination of a minimum separation distance: 1. was conducted prior to any formal request for such variance by the Appellant; 2. was conducted without adequate notice that the issue was to be heard by the Commission on its own motion at that time; and 3. was thus conducted without the opportunity for prior preparation and submission of specific evidence on the issue, adequate for the Commission to make an informed decision, depriving the Appellant of its meaningful right to be heard. Failure to Produce Adequate Written Decision: The Commission, following its purported hearing and decision on a Variance, failed to provide an adequate written decision supported by substantial evidence in the record as to why a waiver was not appropriate for use of an existing electric transmission tower that already impinged on the minimum separation distance(s) as a matter of fact.



MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 2014-058

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A REQUEST FOR A CONDITIONAL USE TO ALLOW FOR A 10-FOOT EXTENSION TO AN EXISTING +/- 68' TALL UTILITY POLE FOR CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT IN THE R-2M (MULTIPLE-FAMILY) DISTRICT, AND DENYING A REQUEST TO REDUCE OR ELIMINATE THE MINIMUM SEPARATION DISTANCE REQUIRED BY AMC 21.45.265A.16. FOR A TOWER IN A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; GENERALLY LOCATED WITHIN THE ALLEY RIGHT-OF-WAY AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF 13TH AVENUE AND E STREET, IN ANCHORAGE.

(Case 2014-0119; Parcel ID No. 002-144-51)

WHEREAS, a request was received from Alaska Wireless Network (AWN) for a conditional use to allow a 10-foot extension to an existing +/- 68' tall utility pole for cellular communications equipment in the R-2M district, generally located within the alley right-of-way at the Northwest corner of 13th Avenue and E Street, in Anchorage, and

WHEREAS, AMC 21.45.265A.16.c. states that the administrative official may reduce or eliminate the minimum separation distance required from principal residential structures on residentially zoned lands after giving due consideration to the comments of the applicant, the property owner, and the local community council; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission was acting as the administrative official through their role in reviewing and deciding on the conditional use; and

WHEREAS, public hearing notices were published, posted, and mailed, and a public hearing was held before the Planning and Zoning Commission on October 13, 2014.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Anchorage Planning and Zoning Commission that:

- A. The Commission makes the following findings of fact:
 - 1. The structural concerns have been identified and thoroughly explained through the staff packet and petitioner and are satisfied.
 - 2. Some commissioners found that the applicant recognized the neighborhood concerns by collocating on the existing utility pole instead of building a new monopole that would have given them optimal performance. AWN looked at sacrificing performance for neighborhood concerns over view sheds and quality of life. AWN identified collocating in this community as an efficient way of development to provide the surrounding property with advanced wireless communication capability and adding to the utility pole is not going to substantially detract more from the view shed than the existing pole.

- 3. Some commissioners expressed the following concerns of a waiver for separation from protected land uses found in AMC 21.45.265A.16.:
 - a. The proposed tower is in the backyard of residential structures in the alley.
 - b. There is almost no separation distance from the tower to a protected land use. The separation distance is not anywhere near the two-hundred percent of tower height; almost no separation distance would exist. Essentially the Commission would be waiving the ordinance.
 - c. The petitioner did not gain support of the community council.
- B. The Commission passed the motion to approve the conditional use by a vote of 6 ayes and 2 nays. The Commission failed to pass the motion to approve the waiver for separation from protected land uses to allow a monopole or wireless communication tower. The vote was 4 ayes and 4 nays. The favorable vote of four ayes was not a majority of the full membership required by AMCR 21.10.203B., and thus the waiver of separation from protected land uses is denied.

DENIED by the Anchorage Planning and Zoning Commission on the 13th day of October 2014.

ADOPTED by the Anchorage Planning and Zoning Commission this 10th day of November, 2014. This written decision/resolution of the Planning and Zoning Commission is final and any party may appeal it within twenty (20) days to the Board of Adjustment pursuant to Anchorage Municipal Code 21.30.030.

Jerry T. Weaver, Jr.

Secretary

J.A. Fergusson

Chair

(Case 2014-0119; Parcel ID No. 002-144-51)

CASH RECEIPT

486358

Municipality of Anchorage P.O. Box 196650 · Anchorage, AK 99519-6650



FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Received from __ Date of Payment (MMDDYY) Receiving Department Remarks Amount of Payment \$ ☐ AWWU MLP ☐ ACH Credit Card □ Debit Card ☐ Other Employee ID Coll. Dept ID Deposit ID ACCOUNTING INFORMATION BDGT PERIOD CLASS PROJECT/GRANT PROGRAM ACCOUNT DEPT ID \$ AMOUNT FUND LN# DESCRIPTION RES TYPE RES CAT. **OPEN ITEM ACTIVITY ID** 8 10 11 12 13

RECEIPT 486358

Prepared by(please print):_

Date prepared:



CASH RECEIPT	P.O. Box 196650 • Anchorage, AK 99519-6650
486359	FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Date of Payment (MMDDYY) Received from										
Receiving Department Remarks Appeal Bond La Notrie O Sheet										
Amount of Payment & 7 10714										
\$ 50.70 ACH MLP AWWU										
Payment type (select only one) Cash/Check Credit Card Debit Card Other										
Employee ID 62344 Coll. Dept ID 620 Deposit ID										
				ACCOUNT	ING INFOR	MATION				
LN#	\$ AMOUNT .	FUND	ACCOUNT	DEPT ID	PROGRAM	CLASS	BDGT PERIOD	PROJECT/GRANT		
an ton	ACTIVITY ID	- 15 A	RES TYPE	RES CAT	OPEN	ITEM	OOUL	DESCRIPTION		
1	5000	101	470	1020	an	000	1) Hi	102000 ed Intent		
2							10011	0.00		
							Control of the Contro			
3										
4										
			4.40							
5										
							Jan Hall San			
6						1				
7										
8										
9		SIS SIS								
10										
11										
No.					Burkey Military					
12	•									
						6/14/10/20				
13										

RECEIPT 486359

Prepared by(please print):

Date prepared:

Green - Book

47-016 Ver. 10_09*

DISTRIBUTION:

White - Treasury

Goldenrod - Department

Pink - Customer